STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies

Система гемостаза у пациентов с первичным миелофиброзом на фоне таргетной терапии с применением руксолитиниба

	Item No	Recommendation	Line number
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the	2
		abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was	10
		done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	105
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	133
Methods		suns specific cojecu. es, including any prospection ny pomoses	
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	56
	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	135
Setting	3	recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of	135
	O	participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and	
		unexposed	
37	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and	135
Variables	7	effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of	138
	8	assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if	
measurement		there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	161
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	135
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how the study size was arrived at Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,	141
Qualititative variables	11	describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	161
Statistical methods	12	confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	162
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	102
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	164
D 1/		(E) Describe any sensitivity analyses	104
Results	12*		167
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	107
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in	
		the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
	4.44	(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	125
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social)	135
		and information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	

180

Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their	169
		precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for	
		and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a	
		meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity	259
		analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	335
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.	271
		Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,	271
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	271
Other informati	on		·
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if	473
runding			

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobestatement.org.